

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
A B S T R A C T

Public Services – Allegations of corruption against Sri Ali Khan, Divisional Accounts Officer (Rtd) Office of the Executive Engineer, Division No. II, CCH, Hanamkonda – Disciplinary Proceedings for non submission of Annual Property returns – Further action dropped – Orders – Issued.

FINANCE (WA-II) DEPARTMENT

G.O. Rt. No. 3324

Dated:10-07-2012.
Read the following:-

1. From the Director General, ACB, Lr. Rc. No. 258/RCT-WWL/2003/S5, dated 28-12-2004.
2. G. O. Ms. No. 102, Finance (WA.II) Department, dated 25.04.2005.
3. Director of Works Accounts Proceedings No. DWA/Hyd/Sn.I/A1/2007-08/306, dated 05.07.2007.
4. From the Director General, ACB, RC. No.258 /RCT- WWL/2003, dated 14-11-2011.
5. Government Memo No. 31876-G/125/WA.II/2004, dated 23-11-2011.
6. Government Memo No. 31876-G/125/WA.II/2004, dated 24-02-2012.
7. From the Director of Works Accounts Letter No. DWA/Hyd/EC1/2012-13/28, dated 25.04.2012.

O R D E R :

The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad in the reference 1st read above informed that Sri Ali Khan, Divisional Accounts Officer (Works) (Rtd) was trapped by the Anti Corruption Bureau, Warangal, at his office chambers, while accepting a bribe of Rs.500/- on 12.11.2003.

2. In the reference 2nd read above Government accorded permission to prosecute Sri Ali Khan, DAO (W) along with another Accused Officer in a court of law for the offences punishable under sections 7, Sub-Sec. (2) of section 13 read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code under Section 19 of the P. C. Act, 1988.

3. Mean while Government in the reference 3rd read above initiated departmental action against Sri Ali Khan, DAO (W) (Retd) for non submission of annual property statements. The Joint Director of Works Accounts, Karimnagar was appointed as Inquiry Officer. The Inquiry officer conducted the inquiry and submitted his Inquiry Report. As per the Analysis and Assessment of Evidence, it is fact that the Charged Officer denied the charges. Whenever the charged Officer has inherited the ancestral property, the Charged Officer has intimated through the relevant year's property statements, the Charged Officer took permission before carrying out the renovation from Government. Further the C.O., has stated that he has informed the Head of Office about non-acquisition of Property also during relevant years when he did not acquire any property and the C.O., is also submitted that whenever sub-ordinate submits any information or letter he can not ask the superiors any acknowledgment. Government after careful consideration of the Inquiry Report in the reference 6th read above requested the Director of the Works Accounts to communicate the Inquiry Report to the Charged Officer Sri Ali Khan, DAO and obtain his further representation if any on the findings of Inquiry Authority under Rule 21(2) of A.P.C.S (CC&A) Rules, 1991.

4. The Director of Works Accounts in the reference 7th read above furnished the further representation of the Accused Officer and the Accused Officer submitted that he did not acquire any immovable or movable properties during the relevant period covered under the charge and also stated that the enquiry officer in his final findings has also concluded that a lenient view may be taken in this case and requested to exonerate him

from the charge. It is also submitted that he maintained absolute integrity, honesty and strict conduct. In his statement of defence, he already submitted that on transfer of ancestral house in his name, he immediately informed it to the Government. He submitted the nil property statement for the years ending 1979, 1980 and 1981 i.e., when pro-forma was supplied. In the years when Proforma was not supplied he informed superiors through letters containing nil acquisition as there is no acquisition by him except one ancestral house. In consideration of the final explanation of the Accused Officer, the Director of Works Accounts recommended to take a lenient view and drop further action against Sri Ali Khan, DAO (W) (Retd).

5. Government after careful consideration of the matter keeping in view the final representation of the Accused Officer and based on the recommendations of the Director of Works Accounts, it is decided to drop further action against Sri Ali Khan, DAO (W) (Retd) in the Departmental Proceedings without prejudice to the criminal case pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh.

6. The Director of Works Accounts, Hyderabad is directed to take action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

L. PREMACHANDRA REDDY,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (W&P)

To
Sri Ali Khan, Divisional Accounts Officer (Rtd)
through the Director of Works Accounts, Hyderabad.
The Director of Works Accounts, Hyderabad.

Copy to:
The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad.
The Secretary to A.P. Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad (through a covering letter).
SF/SCs.

// FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER.